(Hausdorff) Locally compart abelien grups. We compute RHom between LCA. LCA don't form an abelien cart. by RHom we mean RHom for Cond (A6). (Pointset topology exercise : Locally compact 27/9 => compartly generated.) Def. X ETOP is Locally compart : X is Hausdorff and any x & has open U, conpact K S.t. X & KEU! 1. Recall & Complement on Cond(A6). □ ⊗ is sheaf-fraction of S → M(S) ⊗ N(S) for M, NE Cend (AB), SECHaus. · HTIECOND, ZITIOZETIS= ZETIXTES E (oud (A6) (check :- LHS(S)=, on presheaf level, $LHS(S) = \mathbb{Z}[Map(S.T.)] \otimes \mathbb{Z}EMap(S.T.) = \mathbb{Z}EMap(S.T.) \times$ $Map(S,T_2) = \mathbb{Z}[Map(S,T_1,T_2)] = RHS(S)$ ()where Map means map in Top) . YTECOND, ZETT is flat. (because, on presheaf level, A@ZETJ: S' A(S) @ ZE Map (S.T) and this is exact since ZEMap(S.TIJ EAG is free) Q For M, N & Cond (A6), Hom (M,N) EAB. There is a natural way to entreh it to a coud (A6), i.e. defining an internal Hom: ∀ PE Cond (A6), Hom (P; Hom (M,N)) = Hom (P∞M,N). (Cond (A6) (A6) (A6) (A6) · Take P=ZISI, SE CHaus, get Hom (RES] Hom (MN) = Hom (RESJOMN) Homis, Home min) Home MIN) (S)

for top. grp. A, B, endow Hom (A,B) with compart-open topology. (PSTop exercuse: HSE Top. THERE May. S-> HomeA, B) The continuing ES SXA->B continuous. Question: Is Hom (MN) & Hom (MN)? where RHS is discrete Partial answer: NO. How remembers "topology" of and :-Prop. A, B ... Hausdouff top. al. gp., A compartly generated (as top. sp.) Then I natural iso. Hom (A, B) ~ Hom (A) B) (Comp-open top.) $Hom (A, B) (S) = Hom (A \otimes ZISJ, B).$ proof: 4 S ectaus, Hom (A, B) (S) = Map(S, Hom (A, B))= Map(A×S, B). go further: = ZEAJ -> A -> 0 (this means Notice surjection [a] +> a "universal" for A6. V S & Atlans, ExtDis $Z(A) (S) \rightarrow A(S) \rightarrow 0$ Z[Map(S,A)] Map(S,A) $[a] \mapsto a$, here $a \in Map(S.A)$.) So ZEADOZESI -> ZERA OZESI -> 0 (ZESI flat) ZLAXSJ So any element in Hom(A@ZESJ, B) induces our element in Hom(ZEAXSJ, B) which by adjunction = Alap(AXS, Hom(AXS, B) again by adjunction = Mg(AXS, B) in Top. Thus is dearly injertue. To show sujectivity, need to show : given map AxS >> B, the induced map ZEAXSJ -> B factors through AOZESI, i.e. Ker (ZEAI -> A) OZESI is mapped to 0", i.e. ZEAXAJOZISI -> ZEAJOZES] -> E What composition is O , where $\frac{1}{\mathbb{Z}[A \times A]} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[A] \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$ $\mathbb{Z}[a,b,] \longmapsto \mathbb{Z}[a,b] \longrightarrow \mathbb$

But ZEAXAXSJ ~> TAXS) ~> B AXAXS ~> AXS ~> B <~ (a,b,s) >> (ab-a-b,s) Cinco (0", S) ~> 0 ((AxS->B)~ (S->Hom(A,B))) 3) Form D(Cond(A6)). Use projective resolutions B to define RHom, St Define internal RHom Na: VPED (Cond (A6)), Hom (P, RHom (M,N)) & Hom (PO+M,N) Colling, par Riter (1995) - (Ind 1980) - Riter (1980) Our goal is to compute RHom (LCA, LCA). @ Recall def. of condensed cohomology: TE COND, ME Cond (Ab) ·T·(T,M) := Hom (T,M) = Hom (ZET],M) (AS) (AS) · RT (T, M) := RHom (ZIT], M), where we use proj. res. w.r.t. ZIT. Cond(A6) EDCAB, Hⁱ(T, M) := Hⁱ(RHom(ZETI, M)) Prevers talk showed : for TE Locally compact Hausdouff Marschere Marschere Hand AMX & XHILL M) RT(T,M) ~ RT (T,M) R: PHom (ZETIM) as a refinement of RTCTM? the "topology" of ZED & Cond, for Tlocally compart Howsdorff ?

Them i) Any LCA is an extension of Rⁿ, discrete compact. R/Z ii) Pontnyagin D: A +> Hom(A, T) well-defined on LCA. D'is iso., suitches the dis. - comp. So we can make various reductions · any compact M has 0->2->2=> Hom (M, T+>0 apply D get $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow T^{I} \rightarrow T^{J} \rightarrow 0$ So reduce to TI, arbiting I. "IT · O>Z>R>T>O velates Z,R,T. two (more) In essence there are things to compute: [RHom (TI, M) M dis. (RHom commutes with lime in second varie (X) RHom (TI, R) limit in second verrable) / das, Cond Cond (26) Cond (26) Cond (26) CHaus ● Idea: recall RHom (ZETJ, M)(S) = RHom(ZETXSJ, M) = RP(T*S.M), whiteh we know from sheaf coho. We well relate (x) to these, using FACT In AB, 3 functional res. $\longrightarrow \oplus \mathbb{Z} LA^{k_{ij}} \longrightarrow \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} LA^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} LA^{3} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow O$ J=1

Remember . [Xena, Jan 2021] mentions the res. can be explicitly constructed. . Finitariality simplies similar ves, for Gud (AB). Bast Note this work be a projective res. In general miless are Aris are Extens. (for cond(AB)) D RHom (T'M)= #MEIM dus. · I finite: reduces to I= fry. We show Riter (P.M)=0 and use 0->Z->R->T->0. Apply FACT to R-> 0: $\xrightarrow{\mathsf{N}_{i}} \mathbb{Z} [\mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{r}_{i,j}}] \to \cdots \to \mathbb{R} \to 0$ $\xrightarrow{\mathfrak{f}}_{\mathfrak{f}} \mathbb{Z} [\mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{r}_{i,j}}] \to \cdots \to \mathbb{R} \to 0$ $\cdots \rightarrow \oplus \mathbb{ZIO}^{r_{ijj}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow O \rightarrow O$ If these were proj' res. then we may apply RHom (- M) and we are done. But upper now is not, so we should take proj. res. then RHem (-, m). You might ask: why not take proj' res. of R directly. This is because : Aside [015G] FACT (Contain-Eilenberg resolution) F: A->B left exact between contravement between [Weitel \$5] abelian cat. Kizo a complexe in A. Then I a double complex P., Structornal w.r.t. K. and a) each term is projective. nonzero only in first quadrant. (1.e. . 7,0) b) Tot (P.) > Kis a projective res. of K. c) the spectral seq. associated to F(P.,) satisfies

[I means filtration by columns) = R*F(K.). Apply this to K. = $(0 \in A \in \mathbb{Z} \cap A \supset \mathbb{Z} \cap \mathbb{Z$ we get a spectral seq. (A E Cond (A6) SECHAUS (M E Cond (A6)) $\Xi_{1}^{P,\ell} = \mathcal{R}^{\ell} Hom(\bigoplus_{j=\ell}^{n_{p}} \mathbb{Z} I A^{r_{p}} \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{J} \mathcal{M})$ $= \frac{\pi P}{T} H^{*}(A^{*P_{j_{X}}}S_{M}) \Longrightarrow P^{*} Hom(K_{M})$ $= D^{*} Hom(K_{M})$ = RHom(A, M)(S).> (note i) K. is gis to Aloj ii) Hom (A, m)(S) = Hom (ACZ[S],M) => RHom (A-M)(S)= RHom (ADZESI-M) In our situation R=0, we get spectral seq. E^{P,F}₁, R and E^{P,F}_{1,0} converging to R*Hom (R/M)(S) and O respectively. We show the induced E^{P,F}_{1,R} = E^{P,F}_{1,0} is a gis. an iso. because H^P(R^{*}×S,M) ~ H²(S,M) as sheaf coho. · I infinite: suffices to show colin Rton (TJM) ~> Rtem (TJM) JEI finite becure LHS = colim DALEI] = D/MEI]

· Apply FACT to. I TT. As above, einsthig vednees to calm Htc TJxS, M)~> Ht(TI S, M) JEI forse while is true for sheaf coho. 11 RHom(T, R) = 0. 2 Omt RHom $(\mathbb{Z}^{T}, M) = \mathcal{D}M$, Molre. 3 Nee same proof as D we can show RHom(R[±], M)=0. Then apply 0-> Z^I-> P^I-> T^I->0. (Note: TRRELEVANT this is exact for arbitrary I because · AB4* holds in Cond (AB)) Apply RHom (-, M): $\mathsf{RHem}(\mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{I}},\mathsf{M}) = \mathsf{cone}(\mathbb{G}\mathsf{M}\mathsf{F}\mathsf{I}\mathsf{I} -> \mathsf{O}) = \mathbb{G}\mathsf{M}$ Consequences: RHom(A,B) a) (drs., drs.): 0>2=20 A>0 ~> RHom (A, B) = cocone (B^J->B^T) b) (comp., drs.): 0>A>TI>TI>0 ~> RHom (A,B)= mcone (@BEIJ-> BEIJ) e) (R, drs.) 0 d) (dis., comp.) as a) e) (comp. comp.) as b) ~> = = cone(RHom(T,B) -> RHom(T, O)) RHan $(T^{I}, B) = cocone (RHem(T^{I}, T^{I'}) \rightarrow RHem(T^{I}, T^{J'}))$ RHom(T,T) = RHom(T,T) $\mathsf{RHom}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\mathsf{I}},\mathbb{T}\right) = \mathsf{cone}\left(\mathbb{P}^{\mathsf{Z}}(\mathbb{H}^{\mathsf{I}}) \rightarrow 0\right) = \mathbb{P}^{\mathsf{Z}}$

f) (R, comp.) as c) g) (dNS., R) as a) h) (comp. R) 0 $i) (R, R) mse or R \to T \to 0 \implies = R$ Con Ext (A,B)=0 for A,B LCA.

Added:

0->Z->R->T->0 is exact as abelian groups. They are still exact as condensed abelian groups. To see this, it suffices to check by evaluating on extremally disconnected groups, which in turn is an exercise in point set topology. [For details, see, e.g. arxiv: 2109.07816, Prop. 2.18]